

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/008,524	DOORBAR, JOHN	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.	1648	

**All Participants:**

(1) Mary E. Mosher, Ph.D.

(2) Kathleen Williams (via voice mail).

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) Barbara Guyre (via voice mail).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 May 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

/

**Claims discussed:**

1/

**Prior art documents discussed:**

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*MM left message with Kathleen Williams proposing allowable claim language, need for terminal disclaimer. Could not negotiate with Barbara Guyre since she did not have registration number/*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**MARY E. MOSHER, PH.D.**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)